APPENDIX IV.

[Vide answer to starred question No. 3091 asked by Sri V. R. Krishna Ayyar at the meeting of the Legislative Assembly held on 30th January 1956, page 311 supra.]

Serial number and name of taluk.	*The number of applications for the lease of waste lands received by Tahsildars in the Malabar district under section 52 of the Malabar Tenancy Act from 1st January 1954 to 15th August 1955.*	*The number of applications sanctioned.*	*The details thereof.*	*Remarks.*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1 Fort Cochin ..	Nil.	..	....	
2 Chirakkal ..	6	..	The applicants and the jenmies settled the matter amicably between themselves and therefore no applications have been sanctioned.	
3 Kottayam ..	Nil.	..	....	
4 Kurumbranad.	8	..	The lands applied for are the subject-matter of a civil suit. The jenmi of the land has stated that she is only awaiting the final decree of the court to improve the land and cultivate them personally. The petitions are under enquiry,	
5 Kozhikode ..	115	..	The applications were rejected as it was not permissible to lease out the lands.	
6 Wynaad ..	19	..	In nine cases, the lands applied for came within the purview of the Madras Preservation of Private Forests Act. Therefore the applicants were directed to obtain the permission of the collector under the Madras Preservation of Private Forests Act. The land lords ase agreeable to lease out the lands in these cases. In six cases, notice to land-holders under section 52 (1) of the Act has been issued and expiry of notice time is awaited for taking further action. In the remaining four cases the lands applied for are those which are exempted under section 2 of the Act and therefore the applications were rejected.	

TAMIL NADU LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY
TRUTH ALONE TRIUMPHS

[30th January 1956

Serial number and name of taluk.	The number of applications for the lease of waste lands received by Tahsildars in the Malabar district under setion 52 of the Malabar Tenancy Act from 1st January 1954 to 15th August 1955.	The number of applications sanctioned.	The details thereof.	Remarks.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
7 Ernad ..	119	..	One hundred and seventeen applications were rejected as those petitions related to Forest areas which do not satisfy the conditions laid down by the Government. In one case, notice has been issued to the owner to get the land cultivated. Notice time has not expired. The remaining one case is under enquiry.	
8 Walluvanad ..	..	..	....	
9 Palghat ..	..	..	....	
10 Ponnani ..	..	..	....	
Total ..	267	..	....	

APPENDIX V.

[Vide answer to starred question No. 3112 asked by Sri N. K. Palanisamy at the meeting of the Legislative Assembly held on 30th January 1956, page 325 supra.]

(*a*) The Government of India have split up the Hindu Code into separate parts for the purpose of facilitating its discussion and passage in Parliament. In 1954, this Government offered their views in respect of a part of the Code, viz., the Hindu Succession Bill, 1954, at the request of the Government of India.

(*b*) This Government were in general agreement with the provisions of the Hindu Succession Bill, 1954, and suggested certain additions and deletions to the provisions contained in the clauses of the Bill besides some redrafts of certain clauses to the Government of India as detailed below :—

Clause 9.

The following suggestion for redraft of this clause was recommended for consideration by the Government of India :—

" As it stands, the first entry is given preference only with reference to the second entry. This will lead to complications.